

Biotecnologia alimentària i societat: una selecció bibliogràfica

Juanjo Càceres

(SEIAHS – Societat d'Estudis Interdisciplinaris sobre l'Alimentació i els hàbits socials)

Introducció

Aquest dossier bibliogràfic completa el dossier que s'ha presentat en aquest número sobre les relacions entre les aplicacions biotecnològiques a la producció alimentària i les percepcions i actituds socials. La polèmica generada al voltant dels organismes modificats genèticament, l'interès creixent per l'anàlisi de les actituds socials vers la tecnociència, altres polèmiques sorgides a causa de diferents crisis alimentàries, juntament amb l'existència de línies d'investigació social internacionalment consolidades com l'anàlisi del risc o de les relacions entre ciència i societat, han afavorit en el transcurs dels darrers anys el desenvolupament de nombrosos estudis i l'anàlisi de moltes de les qüestions tractades en aquest dossier. És per aquest motiu que presentem una selecció bibliogràfica de més de dos-cents títols, que representen les aportacions més rellevants que han tingut lloc a diferents països i des de diferents perspectives.

En el recull bibliogràfic s'han incorporat només els treballs que aborden els aspectes tractats en els articles del dossier sobre les actituds vers les aplicacions alimentàries dels organismes modificats genèticament. No s'han incorporat, per tant, estudis que aborden altres aspectes de la percepció del risc alimentari, que es corresponen amb enfocaments propis de disciplines alienes a les representades en el dossier (la bioètica, les ciències econòmiques, les ciències jurídiques...) ni tampoc assaigs o treballs de reflexió generals sobre la biotecnologia i les seves implicacions polítiques o socials. Tampoc s'inclou en aquell recull els treballs que tracten les percepcions socials de la biotecnologia des de la perspectiva de la biomedicina. Es tracta, doncs, d'una selecció concentrada en la comprensió de les representacions socials de la biotecnologia alimentària, la inte-

racció entre els principals agents de la polèmica, la participació social en la presa de decisió i l'impacte de les informacions transmeses pels mitjans de comunicació, com a qüestions més destacades.

Aquest recull es presenta dividit en dues parts. La primera ofereix una ordenació alfabètica dels articles seleccionats, amb la citació completa — sense abreviatures— de cadascun dels treballs. La segona es correspon amb una indexació temàtica, per afavorir la identificació dels aspectes abordats a cada article. Esperem que aquesta selecció faciliti l'accés als investigadors interessats als nombrosos treballs sobre la qüestió editats fins el dia d'avui.

1. ALDRIDGE, S. "Ethically sensitive genes and the consumer". A: *Trends in Biotechnology*, vol. 12 (1994), núm. 3, p. 71-72.
2. ALESSANDRINI, A. [et al.]. *Un approche raisonnée des risques technologiques alimentaires: apprentissage et communication, le cas des OGM*. Rapport INRA-Urequa. Crisalide.
3. ALLEN, E.; HOOD, L. "Biotechnology, inquiry, and public education". A: *Trends in Biotechnology*, vol. 18 (2000), núm. 8, p. 329-330.
4. ANGUS REID GROUP INC. *International Awareness and Perceptions of Genetically Modified Foods*. The Economist/Angus Reid Poll, 1999.
5. ARTUSO, A. "Risk perceptions, endogenous demand and regulation of agricultural biotechnology". A: *Food policy*, 28 (2003), núm. 2, p. 131-145.
6. ASIAN FOOD INFORMATION CENTER. *Consumer perceptions of Food Biotechnology in Asia. Public report on the Asian food information center 2002 Consumer survey* [En línia] AFIC, 2003. < http://www.afic.org/2002_consumer_survey_public_report.doc > [Consulta: 1 d'agost de 2005].
7. ATIENZA, J.; LUJÁN, J. L. *La imagen social de las nuevas tecnologías biológicas en España*. Madrid: Centro de Investigaciones Sociológicas, 1996.
8. BAARK, E.; JAMISON, A. "Biotechnology and culture: the impact of public debates on government regulation in the United States and Denmark". A: *Technology in Society*, vol. 12 (1990), núm. 1, p. 27-44.
9. BÄCKSTROM, A.; PIRTILÄ-BACKMAN, A. M.; TUORILA, H. "Willingness to try new foods as predicted by social representations and attitude and trait scales". A: *Appetite*, vol. 43 (2004), núm. 1, p. 75-83.
10. BAKER, G. A.; BURNHAM, T. A. "Consumer Response to Genetically Modified Foods: Market Segment Analysis and Implications for Producers and Policy Makers". A: *Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, vol. 26 (2001), p. 387-403.
11. BAKER, G.; BRUNHAM, T. "The market for genetically modified foods: consumer characteristics and policy implications". A: *The International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, vol. 4 (2001), núm. 4.
12. BARLING, D. [et al.]. "The social aspects of food biotechnology: a European view". A: *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, vol. 7 (1999), núm. 2, p. 85-93.
13. BATES, B. R. "Public culture and public understanding of genetic". A: *Public understanding of science*, vol. 14 (2005), núm. 1, p. 47-65.
14. BAUER, M. W. "Controversial medical and agri-food biotechnology: a cultivation analysis". A: *Public understanding of science*, vol. 11 (2002), núm. 2, p. 93-111.
15. BAUMANN, A. [et al.]. "Understanding Western Australian consumers' views: acceptance of food produced using gene technology. A case of herbicide tolerant canola (*Brassica napus* L.)". A: *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*, vol. 12 (2005), núm. 1, p. 56.
16. BENDER, K. L.; WESTGREN, R. E. "Social Construction of the Market(s) for Genetically Modified and Nonmodified Crops". A: *American Behavioral Scientist*, vol. 44 (2001) núm. 8, p. 1350 - 1370.
17. BIOTECHNOLOGY AND THE EUROPEAN PUBLIC CONCERTED ACTION GROUP. "Europe Ambivalent on biotechnology". A: *Nature*, 387 (1997), p. 831-836.
18. BONFADELLI, H.; DANHINDEN, U.; LEONARZ, M. "Biotechnology in Switzerland: high on the public agenda, but only moderate support". A: *Public understanding of science*, vol. 11 (2002), núm. 2, p. 113-130.
19. BONNY, S. "Factors Explaining Opposition to GMOs in France and the Rest of Europe". A: SANTANIELLO, V.; EVENSON, R. E.; ZILBERMAN, D. *Consumer acceptance of genetically modified foods*. Roma: 2004, p. 169-187.
20. BORCK, K.; RASMUSSEN, B. "Refining the debate on GM crops using technological foresight—the Danish experience". A: *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, vol. 72 (2005), núm. 5, p. 549-566.

21. BORRE, O. *Public Opinion on Gene Technology in Denmark, 1987-89*. Aarhus: Aarhus University, 1999.
22. BOY, D. "Les biotechnologies et l'opinion publique européenne". A: *Futuribles*, vol. 31 (1999), p. 37-56.
23. BRAUN, R.; MOSES, V. "A public policy on biotechnology education: what might be relevant and effective". A: *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, vol. 15 (2004), núm. 3, p. 246-249.
24. BREDAHL, L., GRUNERT, K.G. & FREWER, L. "Consumers and decision-making with regard to genetically modified food products a review of the literature and a presentation of models for future research". A: *Journal of Consumer Policy*, 21 (1998), p. 251-277.
25. BREDAHL, L. "Consumers Cognitions With Regard to Genetically Modified Foods. Results of a Qualitative Study in Four Countries". A: *Appetite*, vol. 33 (1999), p. 343-360.
26. BREDAHL, L.: *Explaining Consumers Attitudes and Behavioral Intentions with Regard to Genetically Modified Foods: results of a Cross-National study*. Aarhus: MAPP - The Aarhus School Business, 2000. (Working papers; 69)
27. BUCHMANN, M. "The impact of resistance to biotechnology in Switzerland: A sociological view of the recent referendum". A: BAUER, M., ed.: *Resistance to new technology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995, p. 189-208.
28. BUSCH, L. "Biotechnology: Consumer concerns about risks and values". A: *Food Technology*, vol. 46 (1991), p. 96-101.
29. BYRNE, P. F. [et al.]. "Increasing public understanding of transgenic crops through the World Wide Web". A: *Public understanding of science*, vol. 11 (2002), núm. 3, p. 293-304.
30. CACERES, Jo. et al.: «Percepción de la biotecnología agroalimentaria en Europa». A: *Ciencia, Medicina, Comunicación y Cultura*, 21 (2001).
31. CACERES, Jo.: "El rechazo de la población europea a los alimentos transgénicos: ¿Un mito?". A: *Quark*, 33 (2004), p. 24-30.
32. CANTLEY, M. F. "Democracy and Biotechnology: Popular Attitudes, Information, Trust and the Public Interest". A: *Swiss Biotechnology*, vol. 5 (1987), núm. 5, p. 5-15.
33. CATALDI DOS SANTOS, C. *Los transgénicos en la prensa española: una propuesta de análisis discursivo*. Tesis doctoral. Universitat Pompeu Fabra, 2003.
34. CATALDI DOS SANTOS, C. "El debate de los transgénicos en la prensa española: cómo los actores sociales denominan esta biotecnología". A: *Quark*, 33 (2004), p. 57-68.
35. CAULFIELD, T.: "Biotechnology and the popular press: hype and the selling of science". A: *Trends in Biotechnology*, 22-7 (2004), p. 337-339.
36. CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIONES SOCIOLOGICAS (C.I.S.): *Opiniones y actitudes de los españoles hacia la biotecnología*, Estudio 2414, Madrid, 2001.
37. CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIONES SOCIOLOGICAS (C.I.S.): *Opiniones y actitudes de los españoles hacia la biotecnología*, Estudio 2412, Madrid, 2001.
38. CHERN, W. D.; RICKERSTEN, K. "Comparative Analysis of Consumer Acceptance of GM Foods in Norway and in the USA". A: SANTANIELLO, V.; EVENSON, R. E.; ZILBERMAN, D. *Consumer acceptance of genetically modified foods*. Roma: 2004, p.95-110.
39. COOK, A. J.; KERR, G. N.; MOORE, K. "Attitudes and intentions towards purchasing GM food". A: *Journal of Economic Psychology*, vol. 23 (2002), núm. 5, p. 557-572.
40. COYLE, F.; FAIRWEATHER, J. "Space, time and nature: exploring the public reception of biotechnology in New Zealand". A: *Public Understanding of Science*, vol. 14 (2005), p. 143-161.
41. CUERDA, J.C [et al.]: *Relaciones Ciencia/Tecnología en torno a la biotecnología vegetal: el caso de Andalucía*. Sevilla: Instituto de Desarrollo Regional : Fundación Universitaria, 2000.
42. DAVISON, A.; BARNES, I.; SCHIBECI. "Problematic publics: A critical review of surveys of public attitudes to biotechnology". A: *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, vol. 22 (1997), núm. 3, p. 317-48.
43. DIETRICH, H.; SCHIBECI, R. "Beyond Public Perceptions of Gene Technology: Community Participation in Public Policy in Australia". A: *Public Understanding of Science*, vol. 12 (2003), p. 381-401.
44. DIETRICH, O. "Biotechnology and Social Perception". A: VON SCHOMBERG, R., ed. *Science, Politics, and Morality: Scientific Uncertainty and Decision Making*. New York: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993.
45. DIJCK, J. V. *Imagination: popular images of genetics*. Macmillan, 1998.
46. DODET, B. "Industrial perception of EC biotechnology regulations". A: *Trends in Biotechnology*, vol. 12 (1994), núm. 12, p. 473-476.
47. DREEZENS, E. [et al.]. "Food and values: an examination of values underlying attitudes toward genetically modified- and organically grown food products". A: *Appetite*, vol. 44 (2005), núm. 1, p. 115-122.
48. DREEZENS, E. [et al.]. "Food and the relation between values and attitude characteristics". A: *Appetite*, vol. 45 (2005), núm. 1, p. 40-46.
49. DURANT, J.; BAUER, M.; GASKELL, G., eds. *Biotechnology in the Public Sphere. A European Source Book*. Londres: Sciences Museum Publications, 1998.
50. EINSIEDEL, E. F.; EASTLICK, D. L.: "Consensus Conferences as Deliberative Democracy: A Communications Perspective". A: *Science Communication*, 21 (2000), p. 323 - 343.
51. ESPEITX, E., CÁCERES, J.J. : «Opinión pública y representaciones sociales en torno a los alimentos transgénicos». A : *Revista Internacional de Sociología*, 40 (2005), p. 207-228.
52. EUROPEAN COMISSION: *Eurobarometer 35.1. Opinions of Europeans on Biotechnology in 1991*. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 1991.
53. EUROPEAN COMISSION: *Eurobarometer 39.1. Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering: what Europeans think about it in 1993*. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 1993.
54. EUROPEAN COMISSION: *Eurobarometer 46.1. The Europeans and modern biotechnology*. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 1996.
55. EUROPEAN COMISSION: *Eurobarometer 58.0. The Europeans and biotechnology*. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 2002.
56. FINUCANE, M. L. "Mad cows, mad corn and mad communities: the role of socio-cultural factors in the perceived risk of genetically-modified food". A: *The proceedings of the nutrition society*, vol. 61 (2002), núm. 1, p. 31-37.
57. FINUCANE, M. L. ; HOLUP, J. L. « Psychosocial and cultural factors affecting the perceived risk of genetically modified food: an overview of the literature". A: *Social Science & Medicine*, vol. 60 (2005), núm. 7, p. 1603-1612.
58. FISHER, M. [et al.]. "What do individuals in different science groups within a life sciences organization think about genetic modification?". A: *Public Understanding of Science*, 14 (2005), p. 317-326.
59. FREWER, L. "Societal issues and public attitudes towards genetically modified foods" A : *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, vol. 14 (2003), p. 319-332.
60. FREWER, L. J. [et al.]: "Reactions to information about genetic engineering. Impact of sources characteristics, perceived personal relevance and persuasiveness". A: *Public Understanding of Science*, 8 (1999), p. 35-50.
61. FREWER, L. J. [et al.]: "Societal aspects of genetically modified foods". A: *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, vol. 42 (2004), núm. 7, p. 1181-1193.
62. FREWER, L. J.; HOWARD, C.; SHEPHERD, R.: "Genetic engineering and food: what determines consumer acceptance" A: *British food journal*, vol. 97 (1995), núm 8 p.31-36.
63. FREWER, L. J., HOWARD, C. I SHEPHERD, R. "The influence of realistic product exposure on attitudes towards genetic

- engineering of foodstuffs". A: *Food Quality and Preference*, vol. 7 (1996), p. 61-67.
64. FREWER, L. J., HOWARD, C. I SHEPHERD, R. "Public concerns in the United Kingdom about general and specific applications of genetic engineering". A: *Risk, Benefit and Ethics. Science, Technology and Human Values*, vol. 22 (1997), p. 98-124.
65. FREWER, L. J., HOWARD, C., SHEPHERD, R. "The importance of initial attitudes on responses to communication about genetic engineering in food production". A: *Agriculture and Human Values*, vol. 15 (1998), p. 15-30.
66. FREWER L.J., MILES S. ; MARSH R. "The Media and Genetically Modified Foods: Evidence in Support of Social Amplification of Risk". A : *Risk Analysis*, vol. 22 (2002), num. 4, p. 701-711.
67. FREWER, L. J., SHEPHERD, R. I SPARKS, P. "Biotechnology and food production: Knowledge and perceived risk". A: *British Food Journal*, 96 (1994), p. 26-33.
68. FREWER, L. J., SCHOLDERER, J. i BREDAHL, L. : «The Bio-technology Communication Paradox: Experimental Evidence and the Need for a New Strategy". A: *Risk Analysis*, 233 (2003), num. 6, p. 1117-1133.
69. GASKELL, G. [et al.]. "Worlds Apart? The Reception of Genetically Modified Foods in Europe and the US". A: *Science*, 285 (1999), p. 384-387.
70. GASKELL, G; ALLUM N.; STARES, S., coord.: *Europeans and Biotechnology in 2002. Eurobarometer 58.0*. 2^e ed. Marzo, 1993.
71. GASKELL, G., BAUER, M., eds.: *Biotechnology 1996 to 2000. The Years of Controversy*. Londres, 2001.
72. GOLUB, E. S. "Genetically enhanced food for thought". A: *Nature Biotechnology*, vol. 15 (1997), num. 2, p. 112.
73. GORKE, A.; RUHRMANN, G. "Public Communication between Facts and Fictions: On the Construction of Genetic Risk". A: *Public Understanding of Science*, 12 (2003), vol. 3, p. 229-241.
74. GRUNERT, K. G.; BREDAHL, L.; SCHOLDERER, J. "Four questions on European consumers' attitudes toward the use of genetic modification in food production". A: *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologie*, vol. 4 (2003), num 4, p. 435-445.
75. GUEHLSTOF, N. P.; HALLSTROM, L. K. "The role of culture in risk regulations: a comparative case study of genetically modified corn in the United States of America and European Union". A: *Environmental Science & Policy*, vol. 8 (2005), num. 4, p.327-348.
76. GUTTELIN, J. M. "Biotechnology in the Netherlands: controversy or consensus?". A: *Public understanding of science*, vol. 11 (2002), num. 2, p. 131-142.
77. HALLMAN, W. K. "Public perceptions of agri-biotechnology". A: *Genetic engineering news*, vol. 15 (1995), num. 13, p.4-5.
78. HAMSTRA, A. "Consumer acceptance of model for food biotechnology. final report". The Hague, The Swoka Institute, 1993.
79. HELLER, C.: "From scientific risk to paysan savoir-faire: peasant expertise in the French and global debate over GM crops". A: *Science as Culture*, vol. 11 (2002), num. 1, p. 5-37.
80. HENDERSON, G. "Consumer response to food biotechnology". A: *Food Australia*, 46 (1994), p. 117-118.
81. HEIJLS, W. [et al.]: *Attitudes and Influencing Factors*. Eindhoven: University of Technology, Eindhoven, 1993.
82. HOBAN, T.J.: «The construction of food Biotechnology as a social issue», MAURER, D. y SOBAL, J., eds. *Eating agendas. Food and nutrition as social problems*. Nova York: 1995.
83. HOBAN, T. J. "Anticipating public reaction to the use of genetic engineering in infant nutrition". A: *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 63 (1996), num. 4, p. 657-662.
84. HOBAN, T.J. "Consumer Acceptance of biotechnology: An International Perspective ». A : *Nature Biotechnology*, vol. 15 (1997), p. 232-234.
85. HOBAN, T.J.; KENDALL, P. A. *Consumers Attitudes about the Use of Biotechnology*. Washington DC: US Department Agriculture, 1993.
86. HOBAN, T.; WOODRUM, E.; CZAJA, R. "Public opposition to genetic engineering". A: *Rural Sociology*, vol. 57 (1992), p. 476-493.
87. HOYLE, R. "Genetically engineered organic food?". A: *Nature Biotechnology*, vol. 16 (1998), num. 3, p. 214.
88. HUNT, S. ; FREWER, L. J. «Trust in sources of Information about genetically modified food risks in the UK». A: *British Food Journal*, vol. 103 (2003), p. 46-63.
89. INRA. *Les opinions des Européens concernant la biotechnologie en 1991. Repport for the Comission of the European Communities on the Eurobarometer Survey*. 1991.
90. INSTITUTO DE ESTUDIOS SOCIALES AVANZADOS. *Biotechnología y opinión pública en España*. Madrid: CSIC, 1991.
91. INTERNATIONAL FOOD INFORMATION COUNCIL (IFIC). *Food Biotechnology Survey Questionnaire (24 September 2002): US Consumer Attitudes Towards Food Biotechnology*. Washington DC: IFIC, 2002.
92. Isserman, A. M. "Genetically Modified Food: Understanding the Social Dilemma". A: *American Behavioral Scientist*, vol. 44 (2001), p. 1225-1232.
93. FLORKOSWIKI, W. J. [et al]: "Socioeconomic determinants of attitudes toward bioengineered products". A: *Review agriculture - economy*, vol.16 (1994), num 1, p.125-132.
94. JOLY, P. [et al.] : « Quand les candidates évaluent les OGM : nouveau modèle de « démocratie technique » ou mise en scène du débat public ». A : *Annales des mines, responsabilité et environnement*, vol. 9 (1999), p. 12-21.
95. JOLY, P. [et al.]. *L'innovation controversée : le débat public sur les OGM en France*. Rapport de recherche. Grenoble, INRA, (2000).
96. JOLY, P. B., MARRIS, C. "La trajectoire d'un problème public. Un approche comparé des OGM en France et aux Etats-Unis". A: GILBERT, C., ed. *Risques collectifs et situations de crises*. Paris: L'Harmattan, 2002.
97. JOLY, P.; MARRIS, C. "Les Américains ont-ils accepté les OGM? Analyse comparée de la construction des OGM comme problème public en France et aux Etats-Unis". A: *Cahiers d'économie et sociologie rurales*, 68-69 (2003), p. 11-45.
98. JOLY, P.; MARRIS, C.; HERMITTE M.A. "À la recherche d'une « démocratie technique». Enseignements de la conférence citoyenne sur les OGM en France". A: *Nature Sciences Sociétés*, vol. 11 (2003), num. 1, p. 3-15.
99. Kamaldeen, S. et Powell D. A. *Public perceptions of biotechnology* Food Safety Network Technical Report. Department of Plant Agriculture, University of Guelph, 2000.
100. KAY WEAVER, C.; MOTION, J. "Sabotage and subterfuge: public relations, democracy and genetic engineering in New Zealand". A: *Media Culture Society*, vol. 24 (2002), p. 325 - 343.
101. KEMP, R. "Social implications and public confidence: risk perception and communication". Stewart-Tull, Sussman, M., eds. *The Release of Genetically Modified Microorganisms*. Nova York: Plenum Press, 1999.
102. KERR, A.; CUNNINGHAM-BURLEY, S. "On Ambivalence and Risk: Reflexive Modernity and the New Human Genetics". A: *Sociology*, vol. 34 (2000), num. 2, p. 283-304.
103. KIESEL, K., BUSCHENA, D.; SMITH, V. "Consumer Acceptance and Labelling of GMOs in Food Products: a Study of Fluid Milk Demand". A: SANTANIELLO, V.; EVENSON, R. E.; ZILBERMAN, D. *Consumer acceptance of genetically modified foods*. Roma: 2004, p. 9-22.
104. KOHRING, M.; MATTHES, J. "The face(s) of biotech in the nineties: how the German press framed modern biotechnology". A: *Public understanding of science*, vol. 11 (2002), num. 2, p. 143-154.
105. KOIVISTO HURSTI, U. K.; MAGNUSSON, M. K.. "Consumer perceptions of genetically modified and organic foods. What kind of knowledge matters?". A: *Appetite*, vol. 41 (2003), num. 2, p. 207-209.
106. LASSEN, J., A. [et al.]. "Testing

- times – the reception of Roundup Ready soya in Europe". A: BAUER, M.; GASKELL, G., eds. *Biotechnology: the Making of a Global Controversy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 2002.
107. LAHTENMAKI-L [et al.]. "Acceptability of genetically modified cheese presented as real product alternative". A: *Food-Quality-and-Preference*, vol. 13 (2002), núm. 7-8, p. 523-533.
 108. LEMKOW, L. *Actitudes de la población ante la ingeniería genética perspectivas europeas*. Luxemburgo : Oficina de Publicaciones Oficiales de las Comunidades Europeas, 1993
 109. LEMKOW, L. "La sociedad ante los riesgos biotecnológicos". A: *Sostenible*, vol. 2 (2000), p. 31-43.
 110. LEVIDOW, L.; CARR, S.; WIELD, D. "Genetically-modified crops in the European Union: regulatory conflicts as precautionary opportunities". A: *Journal of Risk Research*, vol. 3 (2000), núm. 3, p. 189-208.
 111. LIAKOPOULOS, M. "Pandora's Box or panacea? Using metaphors to create the public representations of biotechnology". A: *Public understanding of science*, vol. 11 (2002), núm. 1, p. 5-32.
 112. LUJÁN, L. "Sobre las imágenes sociales de la ciencia: ciencia en general frente a aplicaciones concretas en el caso de la biotecnología". A: *Sistema. Revista de ciencias sociales*, vol. 179-180 (2004), p. 123-133.
 113. LUJAN, L.; TODT, O. "Perceptions, attitudes and ethical valuations: The ambivalence of the public image of biotechnology in Spain". A: *Public Understanding of Science*, vol. 9 (2000), núm. 4, p. 383 - 392.
 114. LUQUE, E. "Jurados ciudadanos y organismos genéticamente modificados". A: *Revista Internacional de Sociología*, 40 (2005), p. 231-246.
 115. LUSK, J. L. [et. al.]. "Influence of brand name and type of modification on consumer acceptance of genetically engineered corn chips: a preliminary analysis". A: *The International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, vol. 4 (2001), núm. 4, p. 373-383
 116. LUSK, J. L.; ROZAN, A. "Consumer acceptance of biotechnology and the role of second generation technologies in the USA and Europe". A: *Trends in Biotechnology*, vol. 23 (2005), núm. 11, p. 386-387.
 117. LUSK, J. L.; SULLIVAN, P. "Consumer acceptance of genetically modified foods". A: *Food-Technology*, vol. 56 (2002), núm. 10, p. 32-37
 118. MAGNUSSON, M.K.; KOIVISTO-HURSTI, U.K. "Consumer attitudes towards genetically modified foods". A: *Appetite*, vol. 39 (2002), núm. 1, p. 9-24.
 119. MARKS, L.; KALAITZANDONAKES, N. G.; VICKNER, S. "Consumer Purchasing Behaviour towards GM Foods in The Netherlands". A: SANTANIELLO, V.; EVENSON, R. E.; ZILBERMAN, D. *Consumer acceptance of genetically modified foods*. Roma: 2004, p. 23-40.
 120. MARRIS, C. "La perception des OGM par le public: remise en cause de quelques idées reçues". A: *Économie rurale*, vol. 266 (2000), p. 58-79.
 121. MARRIS, C. [et al.]. Public perceptions of agricultural biotechnologies in Europe. Final report of the PABE research project funded by the Commission of European Communities [En línea]. <<http://www.pabe.net>> [Consulta : 1 d'agost de 2005].
 122. MASON, L.; BOSCOLO, P. "Role of epistemological understanding and interest in interpreting a controversy and in topic-specific belief change". A: *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 29 (2004), p. 103-128.
 123. MASSARANI, L.; CASTRO MOREIRA, I. "Attitudes towards genetics: a case study among Brazilian high school students". A: *Public Understanding of Science*, vol. 14 (2005), p. 201-212.
 124. McCLUSKY, J. J.; GRIMSRUD, K. M.; WAHL, T. I. "Comparing Consumer Responses toward GM Foods in Japan and Norway". A: SANTANIELLO, V.; EVENSON, R. E.; ZILBERMAN, D. *Consumer acceptance of genetically modified foods*. Roma: 2004, p. 111-116.
 125. McGARRY WOLF, M.; BERTOLINI, P.; PARKER-GARCIA, J. "A Comparison of Consumer Attitudes Towards GM Food in Italy and the USA". A: SANTANIELLO, V.; EVENSON, R. E.; ZILBERMAN, D. *Consumer acceptance of genetically modified foods*. Roma: 2004, p. 131-142.
 126. McGARRY WOLF, M. [et al.]. "Consumer Attitudes Towards GM Food in Ireland and the USA". A: SANTANIELLO, V.; EVENSON, R. E.; ZILBERMAN, D. *Consumer acceptance of genetically modified foods*. Roma: 2004, p. 155-162.
 127. MCGLOUGHLIN, M. "Public perceptions of agricultural biotechnology—a nonsocial science perspective". A: *Medical Anthropology Quarterly*, vol. 15 (2000), núm. 1, p. 34-37.
 128. MERDJI, M.; DEBUCQUET, G. "Opinión pública y alimentos transgénicos. Un análisis sociológico desde la experiencia francesa". En: *Revista Internacional de Sociología*, vol. 40 (2005), p. 249-259.
 129. MILES, S.; UELAND, O.; FRIEWER, L.J. "Public attitudes towards genetically-modified food". A: *British Food Journal*, vol. 107 (2005), p. 246-262.
 130. MILLER, J. D. "The attitudes of religious, environmental, and science policy leaders toward biotechnology". A: *Recombinant DNA Technical Bulletin*, vol. 8 (1985), núm. 4, p. 141-64
 131. MORENO, L.; LEMKOW, L.; LIZON, M.A. *Biotecnología y sociedad. Percepción y actitudes públicas*. Madrid: Ministerio de Obras Públicas y Transportes, 1992.
 132. MORRIS, S.; ADLEY, C. "Irish public perceptions and attitudes to modern biotechnology: an overview with a focus on GM foods". A: *Trends in Biotechnology*, vol. 19 (2001), núm. 2001, p. 43-48.
 133. MOSELY, B. E. B. "The safety and social acceptance of novel foods". A: *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, vol. 50 (1999), núm. 1-2, p. 25-31
 134. MOSES, V. "Biotechnology products and European consumers". A: *Biotechnology Advances*, vol. 17 (1999), núm. 8, p. 647-678.
 135. MUCCI, A.; HOUGH, G. "Perceptions of genetically modified foods by consumers in Argentina". A: *Food Quality and Preference*, vol. 15 (2004), núm. 1, p. 43-51.
 136. MUCCI, A.; HOUGH, G.; ZILIANI, Z. "Factors that influence purchase intent and perceptions of genetically modified foods among Argentine consumers". A: *Food Quality and Preference*, 15 (2004), núm. 6, p. 559-567.
 137. MUÑOZ, E. *Biotecnología, industria y sociedad: el caso español*. Madrid: Gabiotec-Fundación CEFI, 1997.
 138. MUÑOZ, E. "Acción y reacción en la percepción pública de la biotecnología". A: SOCIEDAD ESPAÑOLA DE BIOTECNOLOGÍA: *Libro Verde de la biotecnología en agricultura: ¿ilusión o realidad?*. Madrid, 1997.
 139. MUÑOZ, E. "La complejidad de la biotecnología y la percepción pública: una inevitable relación". A: *Quark*, vol. 12 (1998)
 140. MUÑOZ, E. "La percepción social de la biotecnología: un nuevo instrumento para la toma de decisiones". A: *Revista de derecho y genoma humano*, 10 (1999), p. 195-208.
 141. MUÑOZ, E. "Plantas transgénicas y sociedad: unas relaciones complejas". A: *La biotecnología aplicada a la agricultura*. Madrid, 2000, p. 247-254.
 142. MUÑOZ, E. "Percepción social de la biotecnología y el caso de España: Una revisión desde un punto de vista holístico". A: ÁLVAREZ MUNÁRRIZ, L.; ANTÓN HURTADO, F., eds. *Antropología del Mediterráneo*. Murcia: Godoy, 2001, p. 409-422.
 143. MUÑOZ, E. *Biotecnología y sociedad: encuentros y desencuentros*. Madrid, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
 144. MUÑOZ, E. "Europa y Estados Unidos: actitudes diferentes ante los OMG". A: *Quark*, vol. 33 (2004), p. 31-38
 145. MUÑOZ, E. "Opinión pública y biotecnología: un puzzle con muchas piezas". A: *Sistema. Revista de ciencias sociales*, vol. 179-180 (2004), p. 3-13.
 146. NELSON, C. H. "Risk Perception, Behavior, and Consumer Response to Genetically Modified Organisms: Toward Understanding American and European Public Reaction". A: *American Behavioral Scientist*,

- vol. 44 (2001), núm. 11, p. 1371 - 1388.
148. NIELSEN, C. P.; THIERFELDER, K.; ROBINSON, S. "Consumer preferences and trade in genetically modified foods". A: *Journal of Policy Modeling*, vol. 25 (2003), núm. 8, p. 777-794
149. NOUSSAIR, C.; ROBIN, S.; RUFFIEUX, B. "Do consumers not care about biotech foods or do they just not read the labels?". A: *Economic Letters*, vol. 75 (2002), núm. 1, p. 47-53.
150. ODA, L. i SOARES, B. "Genetically modified foods: economic aspects and public acceptance in Brazil". A: *Trends in Biotechnology*, vol. 18 (2000), núm. 5, p. 188-190
151. OTA. *New Developments in Biotechnology: Public Perceptions of Biotechnology*. Washington DC: US Government Printing Office, 1987.
152. PAARLBERG, R. L. « The real threat to GM crops in poor countries: consumer and policy resistance to GM foods in rich countries". A: *Food Policy*, 27 (2003), núm. 3, p. 247-250.
153. PACHICO, D.; MCGARRY WOLF, M. "Attitudes toward GM Food in Colombia A: SANTANIELLO, V.; EVENSON, R. E.; ZILBERMAN, D. *Consumer acceptance of genetically modified foods*. Roma: 2004, p. 155-162.
154. PARALES-QUERENZA, C. J. "Preferences need no inferences, once again: germinal elements in the public perceptions of genetically modified foods in Colombia". A: *Public understanding of science*, vol. 13 (2004), núm. 2, p. 131-153
155. PAULA, L. "Ethics: the key to public acceptance of biotechnology?". A: *Biotechnology and Development Monitor*, vol. 47 (2001), p. 22-23.
156. PELLIZZONI, L. "Democracy and the governance of uncertainty. The case of agricultural gene technologies". A: *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 86 (2001), núm. 1-3, p. 205-222.
157. PIDGEON [et al.] "Using Surveys in Public Participation Processes for Risk Decision Making: The Case of the 2003 British GM Nation? Public Debate". A: *Risk Analysis*, vol. 25 (2005), núm. 2, p. 467.
158. PLAZA, M. "Análisis de contenido sobre el tratamiento de las aplicaciones biotecnológicas en la prensa española". A: *Sistema. Revista de ciencias sociales*, 179-180 (2004), p. 171-186
159. POHL NIELSEN, C.; THIERFELDER, K.; ROBINSON, S. "Consumer Attitudes Towards GM Foods: the Modelling of Preference Changes". A: SANTANIELLO, V.; EVENSON, R. E.; ZILBERMAN, D. *Consumer acceptance of genetically modified foods*. Roma: 2004, p. 209-230
160. POORTINGA, W.; PIDGEON, N. "Trust in Risk Regulation: Cause or Consequence of the Acceptability of GM Food?". A: *Risk Analysis*, vol. 26, núm. 1, p. 199
161. PRIEST, S. H. "US public opinion divided over biotechnology?". A: *Nature Biotechnology*, vol. 18 (2000), p. 939-942
162. RABINO, I. "Societal and commercial issues affecting the future of biotechnology in the United States: a survey of researchers' perceptions". A: *Die Naturwissenschaften*, vol. 85 (1998), núm. 3, p. 109-116.
163. RAMON, D. et al. "GM foods in Spanish newspapers". A: *Trends in Biotechnology*, vol. 20 (2002), núm. 7, p. 285-286.
164. RAUDE, J. *Information et perception des risques technologiques : le cas des biotechnologies en France et au Canada*. Mémoire de maîtrise en sciences de l'information et de la communication, Université Laval (Québec, Canada), 2002.
165. ROBERTS, E. *The Public and Biotechnology*. Dublin: The European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions, 1998.
166. ROBINSON, C. "Genetically modified foods and consumer choice ". A: *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, vol. 8 (1997), núm. 3, p. 84-88.
167. ROTHENBERG, L. "Biotechnology's issue of public credibility". A: *Trends in Biotechnology*, vol. 12 (1994), núm. 11, p. 435-438.
168. ROUCHIER, J.; THOYER, S. "Modelling a European Decision Making Process with Heterogeneous Public Opinion and Lobbying: The Case of the Authorization Procedure for Planting Genetically Modified Organisms on the Market". A: *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 2927 (2003), p. 149-166.
169. ROWE, G. "How can genetically modified foods be made publicly acceptable?". A: *Trends in Biotechnology*, vol. 22 (2004), núm. 3, p. 107-109.
170. ROWLAND, I. R. *Genetically modified foods, science, consumers and the media*. A: *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, vol. 61 (2002), núm. 1, p. 25-29
171. ROY, A. *Les experts face au risque: le cas de plantes transgéniques*. Paris: PUF, 2001.
172. SABA, A.; MOLES, A. I. FREWER, L. J. "Public concerns about general and specific applications of genetic engineering : A comparative study between the UK and Italy". A: *Journal of Nutrition and Food Science*, vol. 28 (1998), p. 19-30.
173. SABA, A.; VASSALLO, M. "Consumer attitudes toward the use of gene technology in tomato production" A: *Food Quality and Preference*, vol. 13 (2002), núm. 1, p. 13-21
174. SÁNCHEZ MORALES, M. R. *Impactos sociales de la biotecnología*. Madrid: 1996.
175. SANTANA, A.; VALLE, S. "Public perception of biotechnology: problems in the Third World". A: *Trends in Biotechnology*, vol. 13 (1995), núm. 4, p. 126-127.
176. SCHAUZU, M. "Genetisch veränderte Pflanzen und Lebensmittelsicherheit: Zum Stand der Entwicklung und der Diskussion in der Europäischen Union". A: *Bundesgesundheitsblatt - Gesundheitsforschung - Gesundheitsschutz*, vol. 47 (2004), núm. 9, p. 826-833.
177. SCHIBECI, R. [et al.] "Public attitudes to gene technology: The case of the MacGregor's[®] tomato". A: *Public Understanding of Science*, 6 (1997), vol. 2, p. 167-183.
178. SCHOLDERER, J. i BALDERJAHN, I. "Consumer information strategies for genetically modified food products". A: HILDEBRANDT, L., ANNACKER, D. i KLAPPER, D., eds.: *Marketing and Competition in the Information Age*. Brussels: European Marketing Academy, 1999.
179. SCHOLDERER, J. BALDERJAHN, I. & WILL, S. "Communicating the risks and benefits of genetically engineered food products to the public: the view of experts from four European countries". Aarhus: MAPP: The Aarhus School of Business, 1998 (Working paper, 57)
180. SCHOLDERER, J., FREWER, L. J. "The Biotechnology Communication Paradox: Experimental Evidence and the Need for a New Strategy". A: *Journal of Consumer Policy*, 26 (2003), p. 125-157.
181. SCHOLTEN, A. H.; FEENSTRA, M. H.; HAMSTRA, A. *Public acceptance of foods from biotechnology*. A: *Food-Biotechnology*, vol. 5 (1993), vol. 3, p. 331-345.
182. SHAW, A. "It just goes against the grain." Public understandings of genetically modified (GM) food in the UK. A: *Public understanding of science*, vol. 11 (2002), núm. 3, p. 273-291
183. SHEEHY, H.; LEGAULT, M.; IRELAND, D. "Consumers and Biotechnology: A Synopsis of Survey and Focus Group Research". A: *Journal of Consumer Policy*, vol. 21 (1998), p. 359-386.
184. SIEGRIST, M. "The influence of trust and perceptions of risks and benefit on the acceptance of gene technology". A: *Risk Analysis*, vol. 23 (2000), p. 195-204.
185. SPARKS, P.; SHEPHERD, R.; FREWER, L. J. "Gene technology, food production and public opinion: A UK study". A: *Agriculture and Human Values*, vol. 11 (1994), p. 19-28.
186. STEWART-TULL; SUSSMAN, M., eds. *The Release of Genetically Modified Microorganisms*. New York: Plenum Press, 1992.
187. STRAND, R. "The role of risk assessments in the governance of genetically modified organisms in agriculture". A: *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 86 (2001), núm. 1-3, p. 187-204.
188. TAGUENCA BELMONTE, J. *Las Nuevas biotecnologías en España: consideraciones sociológicas sobre sus políticas, controversias y opiniones*. Tesis doctoral. Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona, 2004 ><http://www.tdx.cesca.es/TDX-1027104-172625/>< [Darrera consulta: 1 d'agost de 2005]

189. TANAKA, Y. "Major Psychological Factors Affecting Acceptance of Gene-Recombination Technology". A: *Risk Analysis*, vol. 24 (2004), núm. 6, p. 1575-1583.
 190. TEN EYCK, T. A. "The media and public opinion on genetics and biotechnology: mirrors, windows, or walls?". A: *Public Understanding of Science*, 14 (2005), vol. 3, p. 305-316.
 191. TENBULT, P. [et al.]. "Perceived naturalness and acceptance of genetically modified food". A: *Appetite*, 45 (2005), núm. 1, p. 47-50.
 192. TODT, O. "Regulating agricultural biotechnology under uncertainty". A: *Safety Science*, vol. 42 (2004), núm. 2, p. 143-158.
 193. TORGERSEN, H.; SEIFERT, F. "Aversion preceding rejection: Results of the Eurobarometer Survey 39.1 on biotechnology and genetic engineering in Austria". A: *Public Understanding of Science*, 6 (1997), núm. 2, p. 131 - 142.
 194. TRIUNFOL, M. L.; HINES, P. J. "Dynamics of list-server discussion on genetically modified foods". A: *Public understanding of science*, vol. 13 (2004), núm. 2, p. 155-175.
 195. TURNEY, J. *Frankenstein's footsteps : science, genetics and popular culture / Jon Turney*. New Haven and London : Yale University Press, cop. 1998
 196. VAN DEN DAELE, W. "Background to the perception of risks in genetic engineering : concepts of nature and the semantics of risk". A: RUC, B., ed.: *Risk is a Construct. Perceptions of Risk Perception* Munich, 1993, p. 157-179.
 197. VERDURME-A; GELLYNCK-X; VIAENE-J. "Are organic food consumers opposed to GM food consumers?". A: *British-Food-Journal*, 104 (2002), núm. 8-9, p. 610-623
 198. WAGNER, W. [et al.]. "Pandora's genes - images of genes and nature". A: BAUER, M.; GASKELL, G. *Biotechnology - The making of a global controversy*. London: Cambridge: 2002.
 199. WALES, C.; MYTHEN, G. "Risky discourses: the politics of GM foods". A: *Environmental Politics*, vol. 11 (2002), p. 121 - 144.
 200. YOXEN, E.; GREEN, K. *The Social Impact of Biotechnology*. Dublin: European Foundation, 1989.
 201. ZECHENDORF, B. "What the public thinks about biotechnology". A: *BioTechnology*, vol. 12 (1994), p. 870-875.
 202. ZIMMERMAN, L [et al.]. "Consumer knowledge and concern about biotechnology and food safety". A: *Food technology*, vol. 48 (1994), núm. 11, p.71-77.
- Acceptació: 15, 40, 62, 63, 78, 84, 103, 107, 115, 116, 117, 133, 149, 154, 158, 159, 168, 183, 199
- Agents socials: 34, 58, 130, 161
- Actituds: 9, 26, 32, 39, 42, 47, 48, 51, 63, 65, 74, 81, 85, 91, 108, 113, 123, 125, 126, 129, 130, 131, 132, 152
- Agricultura: 5, 77, 85, 121, 127, 155, 186
- Alemanya: 104, 175
- Ambivalència: 17, 51
- Anàlisi de discurs: 33, 51
- Andalusia: 41
- Aprenentatge: 2
- Argentina: 135, 136
- Àsia: 6, 124
- Austràlia: 15, 43
- Àustria: 192
- Beneficis: 178, 183
- Blat de moro: 75
- Brasil: 123, 149
- Canadà: 163
- Ciència: 35, 41, 102, 112, 169, 194
- Científics: 58, 161
- Colòmbia: 152, 153
- Cognició: 25
- Collites: 110
- Comportaments socials: 26, 51, 119, 136, 146
- Comunicació: 2, 51, 65, 73, 101, 178, 179
- Coneixement: 67, 68, 105, 201
- Confiança: 32, 51, 88, 101, 159, 166, 183
- Consum: 63, 125, 134
- Consumidors: 1, 11, 24, 25, 62, 74, 91, 117, 118, 119, 125, 126, 135, 147, 165, 169, 177, 196
- Construcció social: 16, 82
- Cultura: 51, 56, 75, 194
- Debat públic: 8, 20, 51, 79, 92, 95, 96, 97, 156
- Demanda: 5, 16
- Democràcia: 43, 50, 94, 98, 100, 114, 155
- Democràcia i participació: 43, 50, 114
- Dinamarca: 8, 20, 21
- Discursos: 198
- Economia: 93, 149
- Educació pública: 3, 23
- Enquesta: 36, 37, 42, 52, 53, 54, 55, 70, 89, 90, 91, 156, 182, 192
- Espanya: 7, 33, 34, 36, 37, 41, 51, 90, 113, 142, 143, 157, 162, 187
- Estat de la qüestió: 24, 57, 116
- Estats Units: 38, 69, 75, 96, 97, 125, 126, 144, 146, 150, 160, 161
- Estratègies: 68, 177, 179
- Estudis cross-national: 25, 26, 38
- Estudis qualitius: 25, 51, 182
- Ètica: 1, 113, 154
- Etiquetat: 103, 148
- Europa: 7, 8, 12, 17, 18, 19, 22, 30, 31, 33, 34, 36, 37, 38, 41, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 64, 69, 70, 74, 75, 76, 88, 89, 90, 95, 96, 97, 104, 106, 108, 113, 116, 119, 121, 124, 125, 126, 128, 134, 142, 143, 144, 146, 156, 157, 162, 163, 171, 175, 178, 181, 184, 187, 192
- Experts: 79, 170, 178
- Formatge: 107
- França: 19, 79, 96, 128, 163
- Gran Bretanya: 8, 64, 88, 126, 132, 156, 171, 181, 184
- Holanda: 76, 119
- Imatge: 7, 112, 113
- Implicacions polítiques: 11
- Indústria: 46, 137
- Infants: 83
- Informació: 32, 51, 59, 88, 163, 177
- Insums químics: 15, 106
- Interès públic: 32
- Investigació: 3
- Irlanda: 126, 132
- Itàlia: 125, 171
- Japó: 124
- Joves: 123
- Mercat: 11, 39, 63, 106, 119, 147, 167
- Mitjans de comunicació: 33, 34, 35, 51, 66, 157, 162, 169, 189
- Natura: 190, 195
- Noruega: 38, 124
- Nous aliments: 9
- Nova Zelanda: 40, 100
- Noves tecnologies: 29, 193
- Oceania: 15, 40, 43, 100
- Opinió pública: 21, 22, 34, 35, 36, 37, 51, 128, 145, 160, 161, 184, 189
- Oposició: 19, 86
- Patata: 115
- Percepció del risc: 5, 28, 57, 66, 67, 73, 101, 146, 163, 183
- Preferències: 147
- Plantes: 41, 141, 170
- Prensa: 33, 34, 157, 162
- Preocupacions socials: 51, 64, 171, 201
- Presa de decisions: 24, 140, 167
- Producció ecològica (biològica, orgànica): 47, 87, 105, 196
- Psicologia: 57, 188
- Referèndum: 27
- Refús: 31, 192
- Regne Unit: 8, 64, 88, 171
- Regulació: 5, 8, 46, 75, 151, 156, 186, 198
- Representacions socials: 7, 9, 29, 45, 49, 83, 111, 197, 200
- Resistència: 151
- Resposta dels consumidors: 70, 146, 165
- Risc: 2, 156, 159, 170, 178, 191, 195, 198
- Societat: 57, 61, 93, 199
- Sociologia: 27, 187
- Soja: 106
- Sud-amèrica: 123, 135, 149, 152, 153
- Suïssa: 18, 27
- Tecnociència: 41, 51
- Tercer Món: 151, 174
- Tomàquet: 171, 176
- Unió Europea: 110
- Valors: 28, 47, 48